On staying: Non-migration among Puerto Rican physicians

ABSTRACT

Since 2000, an exodus of Puerto Ricans leaving the island has reduced the local population by almost 20 per cent. One of the migratory waves of greatest concern is that of physicians due to its potential impact on Puerto Rico’s (PR) public health system. This article explores the reasons behind the non-migration of Puerto Rican physicians and the implications for PR public health policies. It argues that understanding the factors that influence physicians to stay in PR is crucial for developing sustainable healthcare solutions.
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health. Strategies to curtail their migration have overlooked the island’s unique cultural and geographic strengths that could encourage physicians to stay. This article, influenced by place attachment theory, explores the perspectives of physicians who have chosen to stay in PR. The presented data stems from qualitative interviews with 24 physicians. The findings underscore how place attachment, including an appreciation for PR’s geography and culture, influences their decision to stay. We discuss how it is crucial to integrate place attachment into any comprehensive strategy to retain physicians on the island, as it becomes an intrinsic part of their identities and lifestyles. Thus, emphasis should be placed on the environmental and social benefits of remaining in PR, not solely economic factors.

INTRODUCTION

The song ‘El Apagón’ (‘The Blackout’) by the Puerto Rican rapper Benito A. Martínez Ocasio (aka Bad Bunny), excerpted above, has travelled the world due to the stratospheric fame of this artist. It is a useful point of entrée to this article, which addresses the intersection between culture, migration and place attachment in the context of Puerto Rico (PR). The lyrics allude to the complex contrasting reality of the island. On the one hand, its beautiful geography, enviable climate and rich pan-Latin culture that has gained a global reach out of proportion to its size. On the other hand, the disturbing gentrification practices of the estadounidenses on the island, the rampant deterioration of its infrastructure that in recent years manifests itself in almost daily blackouts, and the everlasting reflection on migration as a way to escape the challenges inherent to life in PR. In short, the song simultaneously captures a plethora of elements associated with puertorriqueñidad (‘Puertoricanness’) and the conundrum faced by many Puerto Ricans who have to decide whether to stay or leave the island.

Since 2000, a massive exodus of Puerto Ricans from the island has reduced the local population by almost 20 per cent (Ayala 2021). However, one of the migratory waves of greatest concern due to its implications for the public health of PR is the flight of the health workforce. The PR Health Department
recently announced that 50 per cent of physicians had left the island since 2009 in search of better opportunities and living conditions (NimB 2022).

While attention often centres on doctors leaving for the US mainland – there is much fervour in the Puerto Rican and international media about the doctors who have left (Graulau Español 2022; NimB 2022; Telemundo PR 2022) – this article shifts its focus to those who stay. It is based on a study documenting why certain physicians opt to stay in PR despite difficulties. We aim to offer insights and strategies for encouraging physicians to continue practising on the island.

HISTORICAL, POLITICAL, ECONOMIC AND MIGRATORY BACKGROUND OF PR

The history of PR, a Caribbean island with a Spanish-speaking population of 3.1 million, is marked by colonialism (González 2020). Initially inhabited by the Taíno Indians, the Indigenous population was exterminated entirely with the arrival of the Spanish colonizers at the end of the fifteenth century (Varas-Díaz and Serrano-García 2003). After over 400 years as a Spanish colony, PR was ceded to the United States in 1898 through the Treaty of Paris, concluding the Spanish-American War (Ayala 2007). Since then, PR, often called ‘the oldest colony in the world’, has remained as an unincorporated territory, receiving unequal treatment in relation to the estadounidenses (González 2020). Despite being US citizens since 1917, Puerto Ricans lack full legal, political and cultural rights. The differentiation between the dominant culture in the United States and that of the island has produced a racialization of Puerto Ricans by the estadounidenses, which has led to the marginalization of the local population and their puertorriqueñidad by the dominant White Anglo-Saxon culture (Malavet 2004: 22).

In addition to racialization, the colonial situation has consistently attempted to suppress and control the evolution of local culture, a recurring theme in PR’s history (Varas-Díaz and Serrano-García 2003). However, Puerto Ricans have been extraordinarily resistant, actively preserving their cultural identity throughout the colonial plight. The Taíno, Spanish, African and estadounidense presence has been woven into the complex Puerto Rican cultural fabric and is expressed in unique ways through its music (e.g. salsa, reggaetón), gastronomy (e.g. mofongo, alcapurria) and language (e.g. accent and pronunciation including the Puerto Rican ‘r’7) (Santiago 2020). Indisputably, PR is a territory with an identifiable culture shaped by but fundamentally different from the dominating colonial power. As Malavet argued, PR ‘is a cultural nation that lacks sovereignty’ (2004: 4).

One of the detrimental effects of PR’s colonial status is evident in the economic sphere. The local economy has been set up as a captive market for US corporations, resulting in a 72-billion-dollar external debt (Morales 2019; Varas-Díaz et al. 2020). Most of the debt is now in the midst of perhaps the most extensive restructuring of public debt in US history (Austin 2022). The economic debacle is clearly reflected in the high poverty rates on the island (43.4 per cent) (Data USA 2020). The sustained financial crisis since 2006 has significantly affected PR’s infrastructure, including the healthcare system (Varas-Díaz et al. 2020; Padilla et al. 2022). This fragile system, already weakened by economic neglect and ‘vulture funds’ that favour uncontrolled foreign investment, finally collapsed after Hurricane María in 2017, resulting in more than 3000 estimated excess deaths (Varas-Díaz et al. 2020). Many of these

6 In 1513 the Spanish authorities approved the traffic of Black slaves in their colonies. Between this date and 1553, about 1500 Black Africans were brought to PR.

7 Pronouncing ‘l’ instead of ‘r’ in syllable-final position is a trait of Puerto Rican Spanish.
deaths were due to the interruption of life-sustaining treatments caused by the most prolonged blackout in US history (Rodríguez-Madera et al. 2021).

While this is the first systematic study on the migration of physicians from PR of which the authors are aware, journalistic accounts have pointed out that the constant failures in the electrical system (which have left hospitals without electricity, even during surgical procedures), problems with medical insurance reimbursements and low salaries compared to the United States are the most significant catalysts for physician migration in this context (Belluz 2017; Acevedo 2022; Begnaud 2022; González 2022; Graulau Español 2022). According to data from the PR College of Physicians and Surgeons, in the past years, the island has lost just over 14,000 specialists; only half of these providers remain to provide services to the population in need of specialized care (Respaut 2016). At the time this article was written, the government had convened a discussion table with physicians to develop strategies to address the impact of their migration (Telemundo PR 2022). None of the points under discussion to curtail the problem mentioned the strengths of the island context (e.g. cultural, geographic) that could motivate physicians to stay in PR and/or foster the return of those who have already migrated to the US mainland. All the proposed recommendations neglected to address what keeps individuals – in this case, physicians – attached to a specific geography or place.

THE PLACE ATTACHMENT FRAMEWORK

Place attachment is ‘a positive affective bond between an individual and a specific place, the main characteristic of which is the tendency of the individual to maintain closeness to such a place’ (Hidalgo and Hernández 2001: 274). Scannell and Gifford (2010) proposed a framework that includes three dimensions to explain a sense of attachment to a place: the person, the psychological process and the object of attachment. The first dimension (person) refers to whether the attachment is manifested at the individual or group level (e.g. when individuals or groups are attached to areas where they can engage in their preferred practices, including sports, music and religion) (Low 1992; Fried 2000; Scannell and Gifford 2010). The second dimension involves how individuals or groups relate to a place and the nature of the psychological interactions. It includes emotional (e.g. affective bonds such as love and pride), cognitive (memories, knowledge, meaning and beliefs) and behavioural aspects (proximity-maintaining actions) (Scannell and Gifford 2010). The third dimension alludes to the place itself. It has been examined at various geographic scales (e.g. a neighbourhood, a city) and has typically been divided into social and physical place attachment (Riger and Lavrakas 1981). Social place attachment refers to the fact that people are attached to places that facilitate social relationships (e.g. interaction with relatives) and group identity (Young and Willmott 1962). On the other hand, physical place attachment rests on the material features of the place, including neighbourhoods, buildings and natural environments (e.g. beaches, forests and mountains) (Manzo 2005).

Ultimately, place attachment is linked to the development of identity (in the case discussed here, puertorriqueñidad) to the extent that physical and symbolic attributes of specific locations contribute to an individual’s sense of self (Proshansky 1978; Ramkissoon 2015; Boley et al. 2021). Further, the impact of change, or what has been called disruption to place attachment (Brown and Perkins 1992; Scannell and Gifford 2010), reveals how transformation
in particular settings (ecological [e.g. floods] and human-induced changes [e.g. demolitions, new constructions, voluntary migration]) could result in emotional responses such as anxiety and loss (Fried 2000), and a sense of displacement that can lead to psychological trauma (Scannell and Gifford 2010). Place attachment can also have a functional aspect based on the ability of a place to satisfy an individual’s recreational needs or enable goal achievement (Ujang and Zakariya 2015; Boley et al. 2021).

The different dimensions linked to place attachment have been explored in studies on migration (Eacott and Sonn 2006; Rishbeth and Powell 2013; Pedersen 2018), especially for their significance at times when climate change (Dandy et al. 2019; Khanian et al. 2019) and political crises affecting certain regions (Hiruy 2009; van Liempt and Miellet 2021) could represent a risk for people who decide not to migrate (Huber and Nowotny 2020). Nevertheless, the literature on how place attachment could be a protective factor for the healthcare system by curtailing physician migration, for example, is scarce (Beccaria et al. 2021). We used this theoretical approach to understand why physicians decided not to migrate from PR to the US mainland.

**METHODOLOGY**

The data presented arise from an NIH-funded mixed-methods study (grant #1R01MD014188) aimed at documenting the factors associated with physician migration and its impact on PR’s healthcare system. The research team used semi-structured qualitative interviews (SSQIs), brief surveys and institutional ethnographic observations as data collection techniques. This article focuses specifically on the data gathered from the SSQIs. Our qualitative inquiry was focused primarily on three questions:

1. How do physicians who have chosen to stay on the island describe their medical practice histories and the communities they serve?
2. What are their perspectives regarding life and medical practice on the island versus the mainland?
3. How do subjective experiences of attachment to the island and family shape physicians’ decisions to migrate or stay in PR?

Fifty Puerto Rican physicians participated in the SSQIs (26 had migrated to the US mainland, and 24 remained in PR at the time of the interview). Due to the concern with understanding the perspectives of physicians who had stayed in PR, the research team focused this qualitative analysis on the interviews conducted with the latter group (i.e. non-migrants). All physicians who remained on the island were licensed in PR during the past decade and are currently providing medical services there. These 24 participants were between the ages of 26 and 67. The average annual income was $147,167, based on self-reported income (range between $30,000 to $500,000). The sample was balanced in terms of gender and distributed evenly across the island, including both urban and rural areas. Our team intentionally diversified it to include the widest possible diversity of medical specialties.

Interviews were conducted between November 2020 and February 2021 and completed virtually due to the wide geographic spread of physicians and restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly in clinical settings. Interviews were conducted in Spanish or English, depending on the participant’s preference, and lasted between 30 minutes and one hour.
To recruit participants, we contacted their office to explain the project and schedule interviews. We explored themes related to our theoretical interest in attachment to the island, including histories of their medical practice, populations and regions served, and narratives of decision-making regarding whether to migrate to the United States or stay in PR. We conducted sufficient interviews to ensure we captured the range of diversity in physician perspectives, and our analysis revealed that key concepts related to migration, practice context and attachment to the island reached theoretical saturation.

PHYSICIAN NARRATIVES OF ATTACHMENT AND MIGRATION

The migration of Puerto Rican physicians to the US mainland has been addressed on local/international news and social media (NimB 2022; Begnaud 2022). Therefore, it was not surprising that all participants were familiar with the subject and raised concerns about its implications for the health of the inhabitants of PR. Similarly, everyone acknowledged that the situation on the island was far from ideal in terms of opportunities for personal growth and better salaries. However, many other reasons for staying were readily provided, demonstrating that economic factors were only some of the issues involved. The analysis yielded five overarching thematic categories through which physicians explained why they decided to stay on the island: (1) geography and culture of PR; (2) emotional bonds to PR and family; (3) satisfaction with life in PR; (4) US culture as a deterrent to migration; and (5) commitment to PR and its people.

GEOGRAPHY AND CULTURE OF PR

PR’s nickname is the Island of Enchantment due to its captivating and delightful geographic and topographical features (Drenth 2014). These physical properties of the Caribbean island attract international tourism and the locals who appreciate and value them. For this reason, many doctors highlighted PR’s geographical attributes as fundamental elements for deciding not to migrate, clearly revealing this physical dimension of place attachment. For instance, one doctor attested to the feelings of connection and pride she feels for the island: ‘There are so many things in Puerto Rico. I mean, starting with the weather, starting with the places, the beaches, the mountains. […] Very humbly, I must say, you know, the Island is beautiful. The Island is gorgeous’ (Female, 67 years old, integrative primary care).

Another participant shared how the geographic versatility and accessibility that characterize PR generated a sense of belonging:

I consider this to be my Island, my home. I have nothing to look for in the United States. One thinks of the alternatives or possibilities. […] I don’t have a specific place where I would like to go if I were to go. […] I like the island as it is, you know, the geographical aspect, the fact that I can be in a rural area of the island in 30 minutes, and in those same 30 minutes, I can be on the beach. I love the versatility of this place.

(Male, 26 years old, psychiatry)

Beyond the geographic advantages of the island, the uniqueness of its culture was another notable factor in multiple accounts. The particularities of puertorriqueñidad differ markedly from the dominant culture of the United States
(Molina Fumero and Barros Díaz 2019). This theme was reflected in verbalizations such as the following provided by a participant who emphasized that Puerto Ricans tend to connect and take an interest in the well-being of others:

We have always liked the culture; the culture is very different. […] There is always the call, there is always a concern for one another, there is always sharing with one another, and that is not seen in the United States because I have many relatives and friends there and sharing with one another is very limited. And that is something that ties me to Puerto Rico, and I have to mention it because it is something that we like very much: these celebrations, this very long Christmas, these parties all the time. It is something that is definitely very attractive and is very limited in the United States.

(Male, 44 years old, biomedical science)

Another element associated with Puerto Rican identity is the use of Spanish as its primary language (Molina Fumero and Barros Díaz 2019). This participant stated that she did not want her children to lose their native Spanish language as a result of living on the US mainland:

I have seen many things with immigrants in the United States, things with mixed couples, since I (had this experience) because my ex-husband is American. […] And I didn’t, I didn’t want any cultural confusion; I wanted my children to be bilingual, not to lose their Spanish. I knew that if I moved to the US again, they would lose their Spanish completely. So, it was some strong personal issues that tied me here.

(Female, 47 years old, gynaecology and obstetrics)

Other cultural factors were also highlighted during the interviews as motivators that buffered the negative aspects of life on the island. The following interview excerpt demonstrates how culture is present in the dynamics of doctor–patient interaction, turning it into a source of satisfaction that minimizes the difficulties that derive from salary disparities between physicians in PR and those who work on the US mainland:

So, we arrived (at home) at the same time (referring to her husband, who is also a physician). So, my husband gets out (of the car) with a Costco-like box (that his patient had gifted him). It had pineapples, sweet potatoes. […] And I got out of the car with a bag full of mangoes and another one full of bananas (that her patient had gifted her). We had to drop the boxes and bags because of our laughter. The first question I asked him; How much does that patient owe you? And he tells me: ‘Like 35,000 dollars, and you?’ And I say: ‘About 12 visits’ [loud laughter]. And then my husband tells me: ‘Well, no one told us or promised us that we were going to be rich in money, but surely in blessings, fruits, and vegetables’ [continues laughing].

(Female, 48 years old, paediatrics)

These feelings of connection to PR’s physical and cultural aspects go hand in hand with affective responses that contribute to participants’ attachment to this setting.
EMOTIONAL BONDS TO PR AND FAMILY

Some participants explicitly spoke of the fondness they had for their land. This physician was very specific in detailing the emotions she experienced when describing PR:

Well, I actually love my country; I love the temperature; I love the beaches. I mean, I love the country, I love the culture, the music, the everyday life, the Puerto Rican environment fascinates me, it fascinates me.

(Female, 55 years old, general medicine)

It seems that love was sometimes nourished by the recognition that PR was going through times of great need, which served as a motivation to stay. The following quote alludes to this: ‘You know Puerto Rico’s needs. […] And obviously, you love your island, and you want to serve here’ (Female, 33 years old, general medicine).

Family ties are also given great weight, given that most participants mentioned it as a reason for staying. The subsequent excerpt is from one doctor who addressed himself to the lower salaries for PR-based physicians, emphasizing that being with his family in PR was more important than his income: ‘There’s the family; there’s the weather; there are the people. There are other things – let’s say secondary – that are more important sometimes’ (Male, 57 years old, otorhinolaryngology).

Another physician echoed this, observing:

I am not telling you that in Puerto Rico, I earn exactly the same or that our families earn exactly the same as in the United States. No, it is much less. Maybe a third less than what we can make (in the US), but really, we give more weight to family. […] We prefer, then, to earn a little less. […] Not a little less; a lot less. But we have the satisfaction that we are with the family.

(Male, 44 years old, biomedical science)

In addition to the salary disparity, other challenges that life on the island entailed came to light during the interviews. However, participants continued to prioritize being with their families, which, they emphasized, counterbalanced other negative factors. For example: ‘My parents are here, and I owe everything to them. So, for me, those values. […] I feel that they are worth more than any problem – right? – politically, economically, that I may face’ (Female, 47 years old, gynaecology and obstetrics).

In a similar vein, participants elaborated on the importance of the family, especially when they had children because they needed to grow up surrounded by their loved ones:

We have stayed because the family is here. We have stayed because it is important for our children, and it is important for us that they have grandparents, uncles, and close cousins. I believe that this is an experience that forms a human being.

(Female, 47 years old, paediatrics)

There were also participants who commented on the adverse emotional effects of moving family away from PR, which echoed the importance of the
disruption of place attachment mentioned in the ‘Introduction’ section. One
doctor, who lived for a few years in the United States and then returned to the
island, described this concern:

    I felt like, damn, life is passing me by, and I no longer bond with my
    family. [...] Life is passing me by, and I am here in New York. I always
    said that I didn’t know why one misses the island when you’re in other
    places. [...] But the truth is, you miss the island a lot.

    (Female, 47 years old, gynaecology and obstetrics)

The following quote shows the psychological effects of detachment from the
island. The doctor alluded to how PR’s topography was embedded in her brain
as if it were a hard drive, making it difficult for her to adapt to a new place.

    It was difficult to identify what the heck it was that moved me to live
    here again [in PR]. I said, ‘But there is something here [in the United
    States] that kind of doesn’t make me feel like I’m okay’. And when
    I arrived in Puerto Rico, six months had passed when I actually real-
    ized that there is something imprinted in our brain, like in the topog-
    raphy, with what you see on a daily basis, how you are used to seeing
    things, what you receive when you’re little. It is that there are memories
    that you do not realize because they’re not logical. One day, in a traf-
    fic jam on my way to [the name of the municipality], which was where
    I moved to, well, I realized it, and I looked to the mountains, and I
    was like: ‘Aha, it’s the mountains’. Because nowhere, neither in New
    York nor in Florida, where I contemplated living at one point, are there
    mountains. I said: ‘There is something here that I don’t like that I can’t
    identify’, and, well, that’s where I identified it: ‘Of course! The topog-
    raphy is different!’ Totally different. Also, obviously, there is the change
    of climate. The fact of not seeing the sun in the winter made me. [...] I
    suffered a bit of depression during my residency for that very reason
    because those changes in the sun made me [...] ‘edgy’, and sometimes
    I wanted to run away. But, the reality, well, more than anything, more
    than anything was that, was to come back and enjoy our land, which
    is ours.

    (Female, 55 years old, general medicine)

That emotional connection with the island generates in some doctors a sense
of satisfaction in living ‘back home’, which manifests itself in diverse ways, as
described in the next section.

**SATISFACTION WITH LIFE IN PR**

During the interviews, some physicians mentioned that life on the island,
despite its economic challenges, allowed them to lead good lives. For example,
this doctor explained that in PR he could still practise medicine and enjoy *a
satisfactory lifestyle*, mainly due to the benefits of elements mentioned earlier
(i.e. culture and family).

    Well, I understood that here [in PR], you can make a homeland. Here you
can have a job. Yes, you can serve your people. And also, in the exercise
of service, earn an income that, over time, allows you to have a lifestyle
and be able to support your family and give yourself, not perhaps some luxuries, but some basic comforts of a home, car, education. [...] Here you can make a life. Especially, one still finds warm places where there is a community, where there are good neighbours. My whole family is from here [mentions the municipality’s name]. I’m a family-oriented person, more than anything else.

(Male, 48 years old, family medicine)

Likewise, other participants stressed that with the salary they earned, life was comfortable enough:

Both my husband and I are financially secure. An acceptable salary. [...] In other words, it’s enough to live. Again, I tell you, here you cannot aspire to become a millionaire or anything [...] but you live well. You have a roof; you have food; you have your car, and you can treat yourself from time to time. In other words, we have had economic security, and that is why we have not considered leaving.

(Female, 64 years old, general medicine)

I think I have been lucky because what I am doing right now fulfils me greatly; I have a combination of education and research. Although I also see patients in a small percentage of the time, I have a combination of all three. Certainly, I would earn more money in the United States, but I don’t think moving there will give me more satisfaction than I have now. Although I would have more, money is not everything.

(Male, 62 years old, paediatrics)

Island-specific characteristics allowed participants to satisfy their recreational needs in ways that could not be reproduced elsewhere. The following verbalization is from a physician who considered, at one point, moving to the US mainland. After receiving a medical licence to practise in Florida, she decided not to migrate. Describing her motives, she said:

Look, the only reason we’re not leaving is that we’re boaters. I mean, we love the ocean. And in Florida, there is nowhere to go by boat; I mean, you could go west, but imagine. [...] Here we have Vieques, Culebra, and the Virgin Islands.  

(57 years old, internal medicine)

The sense of satisfaction with living in PR and being able to exercise their profession were identified as factors that reinforced the idea of staying on the island. In addition, as evidenced in the following section, other cultural elements of the US mainland were dissuasive to migration.

**US CULTURE AS A DETERRENT TO MIGRATION**

The participants’ narratives presented the feeling that life in the United States differed significantly from life on the island. They alluded to factors such as the weather in the United States, characteristics or behaviours of estadounidenses, potential experiences of racism in the mainland and overall concern over being a minority. A physician commented on this topic:
Because of my personal situation, it (moving to the US) didn’t go beyond thought. Also, my wife, who is a physician too – she has colleagues who have gone to the United States – and this situation of racism, well, it bothers her and makes her very uncomfortable. My wife finds it a little more complicated because, as it is well-described in the literature, there are two situations added to her because she is a woman. In the US, although in Puerto Rico too – But in the US, it (discrimination) is a little more evident because there’s a certain stigma, well, for being a woman and, in addition […] she is black. I mean, she’s black. And then, the racial aspects, well, imagine […] woman, Latina, and black. Imagine that is the ‘perfect storm’ to be stigmatized and have fewer opportunities.

(Male, 44 years old, biomedical science)

Another participant, who had the opportunity to be trained in the US mainland, mentioned the following:

I studied in South Carolina, which is homogeneous since there is little diversity in every aspect. My school, in particular, the university, was not very diverse. In every aspect, meaning, racial, cultural, socioeconomic, religious, and well, I didn’t like to feel like a minority. I kind of didn’t like that experience that much.

(Male, 27 years old, psychiatry)

The discomfort of dealing with these other social factors that characterize life in many places on the US mainland (e.g. lack of diversity, detachment between people) led another doctor to decide to return to the island, even if it meant he would earn a lower salary. He explained: ‘I think you learn to live with what you have. You know, you accommodate to that. This and also that (clinical) practices in the United States, well, are sometimes economically attractive, but sometimes are lonely practices’ (57 years old, otorhinolaryngology).

Another participant explained that he would soon have to go to the United States for a while to finish training. However, he was clear that he would return to PR once the experience was over:

The reality is I like to treat my patients; I like to treat my population. I also just had a baby girl, and I would like my girl to grow up in Puerto Rico. That’s what motivates me to return to Puerto Rico when I finish my training. I’ll leave for the United States for a year. […] I would love to return from my training and be part of my program here again so I can invest all the knowledge I gain abroad in the United States to try to create a subspecialty program here; that would be my long-term goal.

(32 years old, cardiology)

This sense of commitment to the island, reflected in the above quote, was repeated by other participants on multiple occasions during the interview process, as shown in the next section.

**COMMITMENT TO PR AND ITS PEOPLE**

Feeling committed and responsible for contributing to the well-being of the island and its people, especially its patients, was described as something
fundamental. Several physicians expressed themselves in this regard. One participant mentioned when asked about the things that keep physicians in PR:

> There are people who are very committed to the Island, and they say: ‘You know, I want to stay here, and I want to be able to give my 100 per cent to Puerto Rico’ because if you look at it, there is a health disparity on the island and you know, there are a lot of people who dedicate themselves to minimizing those health disparities.

(Male, 30 years old, pulmonology and critical care)

Another physician stated explicitly that his sense of responsibility towards PR was a significant reason to stay:

> I believe that when you graduate in Puerto Rico, you assume a responsibility with Puerto Rico. Although through life you can change, there is something called ‘bonding’ that you establish with your country, with your people, and there is literature that establishes that where you get your training, you usually stay. Because the patients, ever since you’re in training, they get to know you, and you develop that bonding, and it’s a responsibility.

(62 years old, paediatrics)

The following excerpts from the conversations with a psychiatrist and an endocrinologist, respectively, reinforce the idea that responsibility for the population they served guided their decision to stay:

> I felt that after studying so much in Puerto Rico and receiving so much from Puerto Rico, I was committed to giving back. In other words, if I was trained and educated here, well, the least I can do is serve the same population. Besides, this is what I trained for. In other words, where I first trained and thought about wanting to help other people, it was here; it was because of the population here and the patients I saw here. So, well, it just seemed logical to me to work here.

(Female, 33 years old, psychiatry)

> And the reality is that, well, I also feel the obligation, a commitment to be here, to help the people who need our services.

(Female, 33 years old, endocrinology)

In addition to manifesting an attachment to PR, many of the physicians we interviewed expressed a personal value to prioritize and serve ‘the Puerto Rican people’ that motivated their commitment to staying on the island. This commitment was connected to their knowledge of the particular needs and health disparities faced by local residents and added a sense of mission to their work that was absent in the United States.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

At the time of writing this discussion (21 September 2022), PR had just been hit by Hurricane Fiona, which left the island subsumed in another prolonged island-wide blackout and catastrophic flooding. The impact this event will
have on the migratory patterns to the US mainland of Puerto Ricans in
general, and physicians in particular, is still unknown. Still, based on our anal-
ysis, it is likely to exacerbate existing migratory patterns. Clearly, additional
climate events worsen the island’s infrastructure and create further challenges
for the already fragile healthcare system. The months-long waiting times
experienced by thousands of Puerto Ricans to see a specialist, among other
challenges in the provision of services due to the constant power outages, do
not bode well for the local population, who now must face the fallout of a new
disaster (Noticel 2018).

The findings of this study in no way deny the problematic situations faced
by PR and medical professionals who have contributed to a massive exodus
motivated mainly by the search for better salaries and working conditions in
the United States (Parés Arroyo 2016). On the contrary, the physicians we
interviewed who stayed on the island were fully aware of such difficulties,
especially those linked to an economic rationale. The stagnant economy has
languished due to the accumulation of massive government debt and PR’s
limited options to restructure its debt due to its colonial status. As a terri-

tory of the United States, PR does not enjoy the right of mainland states to
file for bankruptcy to receive legal protection from creditor claims or receive
assistance from the International Monetary Fund. Government mismanage-
ment of funds, institutional corruption, population decline and the ill effects
of the COVID-19 pandemic and natural disasters all make the situation even
more complex (Cheatham and Roy 2022). Even in the face of this gloomy
panorama and the difficulties faced by the healthcare system (Varas-Díaz
et al. 2020; Padilla et al. 2022; Rodríguez-Madera et al. 2021), the partici-
pants’ verbalizations were full of hope for their country and shed light on
their decision to stay. Although the factors that drive physician migration in
the Puerto Rican context are mainly economic (e.g. need for training, better
salaries), interviews with physicians revealed that other sociocultural and
geographic elements (on occasions) tilt the balance in favour of staying on
the island for a certain segment of physicians. In this sense, place attachment
was manifested in numerous narratives that referred to how participants
thought (cognitive dimension of attachment) and felt about PR (emotional
dimension of attachment). These cognitive and emotional connections were
evident in the emphasis that participants placed on *puertorriqueñidad* (e.g.
the way of being of Puerto Ricans), local culture (e.g. the Spanish language,
the eternal parties, music), memories connected to the homeland (some-
thing imprinted in our brain) and the emotional ties and sense of respon-
sibility with the island and its people, particularly their patients. Likewise,
participants alluded to elements related to the social and physical dimen-
sions of place attachment, including the critical role of family dynamics and
feeling connected to PR’s geography and topography (e.g. beaches, moun-
tains, small size).

The important role of culture and emotions was emphasized by physicians
who had lived on the US mainland and experienced adverse effects on their
mental health in light of the disruption of place attachment. This suggests the
need for future studies focusing on physicians who have moved and stayed
in the United States. Usually, the discussion on medical migration focuses on
the appealing factors within the US context (i.e. better salaries and opportuni-
ties) that foster migration (Parés Arroyo 2016), minimizing the impact of other
more negative experiences associated with migration, such as discrimination
for being Puerto Rican and cultural clashes.
In addition to the different components of the place attachment framework that were identified in the study data, the findings reveal that the cultural contrast between *estadounidenses* and Puerto Ricans, as well as concerns about experiencing discrimination (e.g. racism) as a minority, were deterrents that seemed to foster place attachment at the time of deciding to stay on the island. Although the economic factor is undoubtedly critical, the above findings echo that ‘money is not everything’, as one participant observed. Given this, the strategies to retain doctors in PR, although having to address structural issues (e.g. economy, infrastructure, governance) that foster the need to leave, must also consider important dimensions of place attachment that motivate people to stay. It will not be enough to provide economic incentives to stay, as the local government proposed in 2017 through Law #14-2017 (Law of Incentives for the Retention and Return of Medical Professionals), which establishes a fixed rate of contribution of 4 per cent on the income generated from medical practice (Microjuris 2017). The commitment and responsibility that doctors feel with their patients, the love for their island and the enjoyment of its attributes must also be important parts of multi-level approaches to foster their permanence in PR, as these topics become part of the identities and lifestyles of these professionals. Therefore, emphasis must be placed on the environmental and social benefits of staying, such as protecting the natural resources and beauty of the island (e.g. its mountains, beaches and unique topography) and championing the local culture (e.g. its family orientation, unique lifestyle and socio-racial diversity). This research suggests these are crucial strategies to retain and attract physicians that have largely been ignored by the emphasis on economic factors alone.

Finally, and as an echo of the song quoted in the opening, two sets of forces coexist in PR that influence physicians’ decision to stay or leave: positive, affective forces allude to the richness of the island’s culture and its physical and social environment; and a negative set of structural forces results from its complex sociopolitical history and colonial status. Puerto Ricans know these forces and the tensions they entail very well. In the case of physician migration, recognizing the value of attachment dynamics can provide a tremendous opportunity to create greater connections between professionals and the island in order to strengthen this workforce in a place that so desperately needs to retain the physicians that have, thus far, chosen to remain on the island – and, perhaps, to motivate others to return.
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